

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1-27 (canceled)

28. (original) A method facilitated by a human annotator and performed in a computer environment for normalizing a score associated with a document, the method comprising the steps of:

(a) establishing (1) through the computer environment a set of training documents most of which are believed not to be relevant to a topic (off-topic) and (2) through the human annotator a query relevant to the topic (on-topic);

(b) assigning, through the computer environment, a training document relevance score to each one of the training documents, each training document relevance score representing a measure of relevance of its respective document to the topic;

(c) determining, through the computer environment, statistics relating to all training document relevance scores and thereby obtaining determined statistics;

(d) receiving a testing document;

(e) calculating, through the computer environment, a score of relevance of the testing document to the topic to obtain a testing document relevance score;

(f) normalizing, through the computer environment and based on the statistics, the testing document relevance score to obtain a normalized score wherein:

normalizing adjusts the testing document relevance score based on the statistics to be comparable to other scores from which the statistics were determined, and

the normalized score is a better predictor of probability of the testing document being relevant than the testing document relevant score;

(g) establishing, through the computer environment, a threshold score representing a relevance threshold for the topic;

(h) comparing the normalized score to the threshold score to obtain a comparison; and

(i) designating the testing document as relevant or not relevant to the topic based on the comparison.

29. (original) The method of claim 28, wherein the statistics include a mean score of the training documents not relevant to the topic and a standard deviation of the scores assigned to the set of training documents not relevant to the topic.

30. (original) The method of claim 29, wherein said normalizing step determines the normalized score according the following formula:

$$\text{normalized_score} = (s - \mu_{\text{off_topic}}) / \sigma_{\text{off_topic}}$$

wherein s represents the score assigned to the testing document, $\mu_{\text{off_topic}}$ represents the mean score of the documents not relevant to the topic, and $\sigma_{\text{off_topic}}$ represents the standard deviation of the scores assigned to the set of training documents not relevant to the topic.

31. (original) The method of claim 28, said determining step further comprising:
determining statistics relating to scores assigned to a set of training documents relevant to the topic.

32. (original) The method of claim 31, wherein the statistics relating to scores assigned to a set of training documents relevant to the topic include a mean score of the documents relevant to the topic and a standard deviation of the scores assigned to the set of training documents relevant to the topic.

33. (original) The method of claim 32, wherein said normalizing step comprises:
normalizing a score assigned to a testing document based on the statistics relating to the scores assigned to the set of training documents not relevant to the topic and based on the statistics relating to the scores assigned to the set of training documents relevant to the topic.

34. (original) The method of claim 33, wherein said normalizing step determines the normalized score according the following formula:

$$\text{normalized_score} = f_{\text{on_topic}} * ((s - \mu_{\text{off_topic}}) / \sigma_{\text{off_topic}})$$

wherein $f_{\text{on_topic}}$ represents a scale factor based on the statistics relating to the scores assigned to the set of training documents relevant to the topic, s represents the score assigned to

the testing document, $\mu_{\text{off_topic}}$) represents the mean score of the documents not relevant to the topic, and $\sigma_{\text{off_topic}}$ represents the standard deviation of the scores assigned to the set of training documents not relevant to the topic.

35. (original) The method of claim 28, wherein said designating step comprises:
designating the testing document as relevant to the topic based on a determination that the normalized score is greater than the threshold score; and
designating the testing document as not relevant to the topic based on a determination that the normalized score is not greater than the threshold score.

36. (original) The method of claim 28, further comprising: repeating steps (a)-(d) for a plurality of topics.

37. (original) The method of claim 28, further comprising: repeating steps (a)-(d) for a plurality of testing documents.

38. (original) The method of claim 28, wherein the statistics include a first robust estimate of a mean score of the set of training documents not relevant to the topic and a second robust estimate of a standard deviation of the scores assigned to the set of training documents not relevant to the topic.

39. (original) The method of claim 38 wherein the first robust estimate comprises:
setting a first robust estimate threshold, based on the determined statistics;
removing each document of the set of training documents not relevant to the topic which is above the first robust estimate threshold, thereby creating a remaining set of training documents not relevant to the topic; and
determining new estimates of the statistics that may be more appropriate for off topic documents based solely on the remaining set of training documents.

40. (original) The method of claim 38 wherein the second robust estimate comprises:

setting a second robust estimate threshold, based on the determined statistics; removing each document of the set of training documents not relevant to the topic which is above the second robust estimate threshold, thereby creating a remaining set of training documents not relevant to the topic; and

determining new estimates of the statistics that may be more appropriate for offtopic documents based solely on the remaining set of training documents.

41. (original) The method of claim 39 wherein the second robust estimate comprises:
- setting a second robust estimate threshold, based on the determined statistics; removing each document of the set of training documents not relevant to the topic which is above the second robust estimate threshold, thereby creating a remaining set of training documents not relevant to the topic; and
- determining new estimates of the statistics that may be more appropriate for offtopic documents based solely on the remaining set of training documents.

42. (currently amended) A computer-readable storage medium containing instructions for performing a method in a computer environment for normalizing a score associated with a document, the method facilitated by a human annotator comprising:

- (a) establishing (1) through the computer environment [-readable medium] a set of training documents most of which are believed not to be relevant to a topic (off-topic) and (2) through the human annotator a query relevant to the topic (on-topic);
- (b) assigning, through the computer environment, a training document relevance score to each one of the training documents, each training document relevance score representing a measure of relevance of its respective document to the topic;
- (c) determining, through the computer environment, statistics relating to all training document relevance scores;
- (d) receiving a testing document;
- (e) calculating, through the computer environment, a score of relevance of the testing document to the topic to obtain a testing document relevance score;
- (f) normalizing, through the computer environment and based on the statistics, the testing document relevance score to obtain a normalized score wherein:

normalizing adjusts the testing document relevance score based on the statistics to be comparable to other scores from which the statistics were determined, and

the normalized score is a better predictor of probability of the testing document being relevant than the testing document relevant score;

(g) establishing, through the computer environment, a threshold score representing a relevance threshold for the topic;

(h) comparing the normalized score to the threshold score to obtain a comparison;

and

(i) designating the testing document as relevant or not relevant to the topic based on the comparison.

43. (currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, wherein the statistics include a mean score of the training documents not relevant to the topic and a standard deviation of the scores assigned to the set of training documents not relevant to the topic.

44. (currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 43, wherein said normalizing step determines the normalized score according the following formula:

$$\text{normalized_score} = (s - \mu_{\text{off_topic}}) / (\sigma_{\text{off_topic}})$$

wherein s represents the score assigned to the testing document, $\mu_{\text{off_topic}}$ represents the mean score of the documents not relevant to the topic, and $\sigma_{\text{off_topic}}$ represents the standard deviation of the scores assigned to the set of training documents not relevant to the topic.

45. (currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, said determining step further comprising:

determining statistics relating to scores assigned to a set of training documents relevant to the topic.

46. (currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 45, wherein the statistics relating to scores assigned to a set of training documents relevant to the topic include a

mean score of the documents relevant to the topic and a standard deviation of the scores assigned to the set of training documents relevant to the topic.

47. (currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 46, wherein said normalizing step comprises:

normalizing a score assigned to a testing document based on the statistics relating to the scores assigned to the set of training documents not relevant to the topic and based on the statistics relating to the scores assigned to the set of training documents relevant to the topic.

48. (currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 47, wherein said normalizing step determines the normalized score according the following formula:

$$\text{normalized_score} = f_{\text{on_topic}} * ((s - \mu_{\text{off_topic}}) / \sigma_{\text{off_topic}})$$

wherein $f_{\text{on_topic}}$ represents a scale factor based on the statistics relating to the scores assigned to the set of training documents relevant to the topic, s represents the score assigned to the testing document, $\mu_{\text{off_topic}}$ represents the mean score of the documents not relevant to the topic, and $\sigma_{\text{off_topic}}$ represents the standard deviation of the scores assigned to the set of training documents not relevant to the topic.

49. (currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, wherein said designating step comprises:

designating the testing document as relevant to the topic based on a determination that the normalized score is greater than the threshold score; and

designating the testing document as not relevant to the topic based on a determination that the normalized score is not greater than the threshold score.

50. (currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, further comprising: repeating steps (a)-(d) for a plurality of topics.

51. (currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, further comprising: repeating steps (a)-(d) for a plurality of testing documents.

52. (currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, wherein the statistics include a robust estimate of a mean score of the training documents not relevant to the topic and a robust estimate of a standard deviation of the scores assigned to the set of training documents not relevant to the topic.

53. (original) A method, facilitated by a human annotator and performed in a computer environment for normalizing a score associated with a document, the method comprising the steps of:

(a) receiving (1) through the computer environment a set of training documents not relevant to a topic (off-topic) and (2) through the human annotator a query including the topic (on-topic);

(b) assigning, through the computer environment, a training document relevance score to each one of the training documents, each training document relevance score representing a measure of relevance of its respective document to the topic;

(c) determining, through the computer environment, statistics relating to all training document relevance scores;

(d) receiving a testing document;

(e) calculating, through the computer environment, a score of relevance of the testing document to the topic to obtain a testing document relevance score; and

(f) normalizing, through the computer environment and based on the statistics, the testing document relevance score to obtain a normalized score wherein:

normalizing adjusts the testing document relevance score based on the statistics to be comparable to other scores from which the statistics were determined, and

the normalized score is a better predictor of probability of the testing document being relevant than the testing document relevant score.

54. (original) The method of claim 53, further comprising:
designating the testing document as relevant or not relevant to the topic based on the normalized score.

55. (original) The method of claim 53, further comprising: comparing the normalized score to a threshold score; and

designating the testing document as relevant or not relevant to the topic based on the comparison.

56. (original) A method facilitated by a human annotator and performed by a processor in a computer environment for searching for documents relevant to a topic comprising the steps of:

establishing through the computer environment a set of training documents not relevant to the topic (off-topic);

the human annotator sending a query including the topic (on-topic) to the processor; and

the human annotator receiving results from the processor indicating a document relevant to the topic, wherein the processor:

assigns, through the computer environment, a training document relevance score to each one of the training documents, each training document relevance score representing a measure of relevance of its respective document to the topic;

determines, through the computer environment, statistics relating to all training document relevance scores;

receives a testing document;

calculates, through the computer environment, a score of relevance of the testing document to the topic to obtain a testing document relevance score; normalizes, through the computer environment and based on the statistics, the testing document relevance score to obtain a normalized score wherein:

normalizing adjusts the testing document relevance score based on the statistics to be comparable to other scores from which the statistics were determined, and

the normalized score is a better predictor of probability of the testing document being relevant than the testing document relevant score; establishes, through the computer environment, a threshold score representing a relevance threshold for the topic; compares the normalized score to the threshold score to obtain a comparison; and

designates the testing document as relevant or not relevant to the topic based on the comparison.